Presentation Grade [5= Excellent (A); 4 = Good (AB); 3 = Satisfactory (B); 2 = Some problems

(BC); 1 = Many problems (C); 0 = Did not present (F); NA= not applicable]: 5 2. Organization: Was there a clear organization? Were transitions between sections clear and effective? Did the organization lead to a clear conclusion? 3. Content: Did the speakers support their points? Was the supporting material relevant, up to 5 4. Visual Aids: Were visual aids used effectively and appropriately, carefully prepared? 5. Conclusion: Were key points reinforced? Was a sense of closure provided? If appropriate, was a course of action proposed? 6. <u>Delivery</u>: Was/were the speaker(s) natural, enthusiastic? Did they speak clearly? Were appropriate gestures, posture, expressions used? 5 7. Discussion: Were questions answered accurately, clearly, effectively? _8. General Comments (use back): Thonkyou for empowering as.

Presentation Grade [5= Excellent (A); 4 = Good (AB); 3 = Satisfactory (B); 2 = Some problems (BC); 1 = Many problems (C); 0 = Did not present (F); NA= not applicable]: Presenter(s): Kelly McCall Topic: Early Mobility IN the ICU 5 1. Introduction: Did the introduction capture your interest? Was necessary background given? Was a clear purpose conveyed? 5 2. Organization: Was there a clear organization? Were transitions between sections clear and effective? Did the organization lead to a clear conclusion? 5 3. Content: Did the speakers support their points? Was the supporting material relevant, up to date? 5 4. <u>Visual Aids</u>: Were visual aids used effectively and appropriately, carefully prepared? 5. Conclusion: Were key points reinforced? Was a sense of closure provided? If appropriate, was a course of action proposed? 5. 6. <u>Delivery</u>: Was/were the speaker(s) natural, enthusiastic? Did they speak clearly? Were appropriate gestures, posture, expressions used? 5 7. <u>Discussion</u>: Were questions answered accurately, clearly, effectively? there has done excellent with her research, she was able to provide effective + accurate feedback with any questions.

Presentation Grade [5= Excellent (A); 4 = Good (AB); 3 = Satisfactory (B); 2 = Some problems (BC); 1 = Many problems (C); 0 = Did not present (F); NA= not applicable]:

Presenter(s): Kelly Milan
Topic: Early Mobility in the ICU
1. <u>Introduction</u> : Did the introduction capture your interest? Was necessary background given? Was a clear purpose conveyed?
2. Organization: Was there a clear organization? Were transitions between sections clear and effective? Did the organization lead to a clear conclusion?
5 3. Content: Did the speakers support their points? Was the supporting material relevant, up to date?
4. Visual Aids: Were visual aids used effectively and appropriately, carefully prepared?
5. Conclusion: Were key points reinforced? Was a sense of closure provided? If appropriate, was a course of action proposed?
6. <u>Delivery</u> : Was/were the speaker(s) natural, enthusiastic? Did they speak clearly? Were appropriate gestures, posture, expressions used?
7. <u>Discussion</u> : Were questions answered accurately, clearly, effectively?
8. General Comments (use back):
greation-professional with lots of greatinto

Presentation Grade [5= Excellent (A); 4 = Good (AB); 3 = Satisfactory (B); 2 = Some problems (BC); 1 = Many problems (C); 0 = Did not present (F); NA = not applicable]: Presenter(s): KUU W (CA) Topic: Early Mobility in The 1011 1. Introduction: Did the introduction capture your interest? Was necessary background given? Was a clear purpose conveyed? Yes, kuy properly opened the presentation of explained her interest in the topic 2. Organization: Was there a clear organization? Were transitions between sections clear and effective? Did the organization lead to a clear conclusion?

We H, Simple conclusion that emphasized points 3. Content: Did the speakers support their points? Was the supporting material relevant, up to date? VE 4. Visual Aids: Were visual aids used effectively and appropriately, carefully prepared?

Maybl Could Naul builfithed from print out
powerpoint to be able to take nome take notes 5. Conclusion: Were key points reinforced? Was a sense of closure provided? If appropriate, was a course of action proposed? 6. <u>Delivery</u>: Was/were the speaker(s) natural, enthusiastic? Did they speak clearly? Were appropriate gestures, posture, expressions used? 7. <u>Discussion</u>: Were questions answered accurately, clearly, effectively? 8. General Comments (use back): Itnought Kelly did a wonderful job explaining letts role and now influential we are what role we play in the Icu patients

Presentation Grade [5= Excellent (A); 4 = Good (AB); 3 = Satisfactory (B); 2 = Some problems (BC); 1 = Many problems (C); 0 = Did not present (F); NA = not applicable: mobilization in 1011. 1. <u>Introduction</u>: Did the introduction capture your interest? Was necessary background given? Was a clear purpose conveyed? 52. Organization: Was there a clear organization? Were transitions between sections clear and effective? Did the organization lead to a clear conclusion? 53. Content: Did the speakers support their points? Was the supporting material relevant, up to date? 54. <u>Visual Aids</u>: Were visual aids used effectively and appropriately, carefully prepared? 5. Conclusion: Were key points reinforced? Was a sense of closure provided? If appropriate, was a course of action proposed? 6. <u>Delivery</u>: Was/were the speaker(s) natural, enthusiastic? Did they speak clearly? Were appropriate gestures, posture, expressions used? 7. <u>Discussion</u>: Were questions answered accurately, clearly, effectively? 8. General Comments (use back): EXCOLLENT, EY EXPLINITY TOPIC. VERY FRUSTPENNY FOR PTS IN THE COUTE CORE SETTING 2° BARRIORO.

Presentation Grade [5= Excellent (A); 4 = Good (AB); 3 = Satisfactory (B); 2 = Some problems (BC); 1 = Many problems (C); 0 = Did not present (F); NA= not applicable]:

Presenter(s): Kelly McCall
Presenter(s): <u>Kelly McCall</u> Topic: <u>Early Mobeleyatur in ICU</u>
1. Introduction: Did the introduction capture your interest? Was necessary background given? Was a clear purpose conveyed? Use interesting topic - We keed to be more for a chief! 5. Organization: Was there a clear organization? Were transitions between sections clear and effective? Did the organization lead to a clear conclusion?
53. Content: Did the speakers support their points? Was the supporting material relevant, up to date?
4. Visual Aids: Were visual aids used effectively and appropriately, carefully prepared?
5. Conclusion: Were key points reinforced? Was a sense of closure provided? If appropriate, was a course of action proposed?
6. <u>Delivery</u> : Was/were the speaker(s) natural, enthusiastic? Did they speak clearly? Were appropriate gestures, posture, expressions used?
5 7. <u>Discussion</u> : Were questions answered accurately, clearly, effectively?

Presentation Grade [5= Excellent (A); 4 = Good (AB); 3 = Satisfactory (B); 2 = Some problems (BC); 1 = Many problems (C); 0 = Did not present (F); NA= not applicable]:
——————————————————————————————————————
Presenter(s):
Topic: Icu mobility
1. Introduction: Did the introduction capture your interest? Was necessary background given? Was a clear purpose conveyed?
2. Organization: Was there a clear organization? Were transitions between sections clear and effective? Did the organization lead to a clear conclusion?
5 3. Content: Did the speakers support their points? Was the supporting material relevant, up to date?
5 4. <u>Visual Aids</u> : Were visual aids used effectively and appropriately, carefully prepared?
5. Conclusion: Were key points reinforced? Was a sense of closure provided? If appropriate, was a course of action proposed?
6. <u>Delivery</u> : Was/were the speaker(s) natural, enthusiastic? Did they speak clearly? Were appropriate gestures, posture, expressions used?
7. <u>Discussion</u> : Were questions answered accurately, clearly, effectively?
5 8. General Comments (use back):

Presentation Grade [5= Excellent (A); 4 = Good (AB); 3 = Satisfactory (B); 2 = Some problems (BC); 1 = Many problems (C); 0 = Did not present (F); NA = not applicable: Presenter(s): Kely Mc Call Topic: Madily in (CU: PT Role 1. Introduction: Did the introduction capture your interest? Was necessary background given? Was a clear purpose conveyed? 4 2. Organization: Was there a clear organization? Were transitions between sections clear and effective? Did the organization lead to a clear conclusion? Good fle to the prosention $\frac{\checkmark}{2}$ 3. Content: Did the speakers support their points? Was the supporting material relevant, up to date? Good strong and recently to sack up natival 4. Visual Aids: Were visual aids used effectively and appropriately, carefully prepared? 4 5. Conclusion: Were key points reinforced? Was a sense of closure provided? If appropriate, was a course of action proposed? section on butth care volon into by, conclusion her good was up 6. Delivery: Was/were the speaker(s) natural, enthusiastic? Did they speak clearly? Were appropriate gestures, posture, expressions used? 7. <u>Discussion</u>: Were questions answered accurately, clearly, effectively? 8. General Comments (use back):

Presentation Grade [5= Excellent (A); 4 = Good (AB); 3 = Satisfactory (B); 2 = Some problems (BC); 1 = Many problems (C); 0 = Did not present (F); NA = not applicable: 1. <u>Introduction</u>: Did the introduction capture your interest? Was necessary background given? Was a clear purpose conveyed? 5 2. Organization: Was there a clear organization? Were transitions between sections clear and effective? Did the organization lead to a clear conclusion? 3. Content: Did the speakers support their points? Was the supporting material relevant, up to 4. Visual Aids: Were visual aids used effectively and appropriately, carefully prepared? 5. Conclusion: Were key points reinforced? Was a sense of closure provided? If appropriate, was a course of action proposed? 6. <u>Delivery</u>: Was/were the speaker(s) natural, enthusiastic? Did they speak clearly? Were appropriate gestures, posture, expressions used? 7. <u>Discussion</u>: Were questions answered accurately, clearly, effectively? 8. General Comments (use back):

Excellent data + presentation

Presentation Grade [5= Excellent (A); 4 = Good (AB); 3 = Satisfactory (B); 2 = Some problems (BC); 1 = Many problems (C); 0 = Did not present (F); NA= not applicable]:

Presenter(s): KELLY Mc CALL Topic: LENGTH OF STAY IN ICU
Topic: LANGTH OF STAY IN ICU
1. <u>Introduction</u> : Did the introduction capture your interest? Was necessary background given? Was a clear purpose conveyed?
2. Organization: Was there a clear organization? Were transitions between sections clear and effective? Did the organization lead to a clear conclusion?
4 3. Content: Did the speakers support their points? Was the supporting material relevant, up to date?
4. Visual Aids: Were visual aids used effectively and appropriately, carefully prepared?
3 5. Conclusion: Were key points reinforced? Was a sense of closure provided? If appropriate, was a course of action proposed?
6. <u>Delivery</u> : Was/were the speaker(s) natural, enthusiastic? Did they speak clearly? Were appropriate gestures, posture, expressions used?
∠ 7. <u>Discussion</u> : Were questions answered accurately, clearly, effectively?
3 8. General Comments (use back):