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Thank you for viewing my training module *The Dual-Task Condition: An Evidence-Based Guide to Assessment and Intervention for Attention-Related Fall Risk in Older Adults*!

Please take a few moments to comment on each of the components you viewed.  Please be honest and as specific as possible – I greatly value your input on ways to improve the effectiveness of this resource. Bold your answers and provide comments to clarify as needed:

1. Part I: Intro & Assessment
2. On a scale of 1-10 (1= not good, 10=excellent) how would you rate the following?
3. Relevance to clinical practice:
4. Level of detail (please clarify - too much, too little, etc.):
5. Appearance/Layout:
6. Did you encounter any formatting errors, typos, or other technical issues? (if so, describe)
7. Other comments on Part I:

B) Part II: Intervention

1. On a scale of 1-10 (1= not good, 10=excellent) how would you rate the following?
2. Relevance to clinical practice:
3. Level of detail (please clarify - too much, too little, etc.):
4. Appearance/Layout:
5. Did you encounter any formatting errors, typos, or other technical issues? (if so, describe)
6. Other comments on Part II:

C) Handout

1. On a scale of 1-10 (1= not good, 10=excellent) how would you rate the following?
2. Relevance to clinical practice:
3. Level of detail (please clarify - too much, too little, etc.):
4. Appearance/Layout:
5. Did you encounter any formatting errors, typos, or other technical issues? (if so, describe)
6. Other comments on the handout:
7. Comments on overall experience:
   1. What worked well?
   2. What could have been better?
   3. Anything else?

**\*\*\* Please return via e-mail to:** [megan\_christiansen@med.unc.edu](mailto:megan_christiansen@med.unc.edu) OR answer via “comments” on wiki site. Thank you for your time!