

**Guatemala Project Capstone Evaluation Tool**

**Scale questions 1-5 in accordance with your level of agreement:**

**Wiki and Powerpoint Evaluation**

	<b>1 (Strongly Disagree)</b>	<b>2 (Disagree)</b>	<b>3 (Neutral)</b>	<b>4 (Agree)</b>	<b>5 (Strongly Agree)</b>
The Wiki is <b>well organized</b> and easy to follow					
The Wiki is <b>concise</b>					
The powerpoint is <b>practical</b> for first year UNC DPT students					
The powerpoint is <b>organized</b> in a clear manner and <b>flows appropriately</b>					
The powerpoint will aid in <b>increasing awareness of cultural competency</b> in the physical therapy profession					

**The manuscript**

	<b>1 (Strongly Disagree)</b>	<b>2 (Disagree)</b>	<b>3 (Neutral)</b>	<b>4 (Agree)</b>	<b>5 (Strongly Agree)</b>
<b>Abstract</b> concisely summarizes the entire study					
<b>Introduction</b> clearly states the purpose, evidence of outside reading and hypothesis					
<b>Methods</b> effectively communicates the method used					

<b>Results</b> are well organized in text and appropriate use of tables/ graphs					
<b>Discussion</b> conclusions are based on the results; indicates support/rejection of hypothesis; and discusses future directions for research					
<b>Conclusion</b> summarizes conclusions effectively					
<b>References</b> are cited in the text of the paper and listed appropriately					
This paper/study was <b>relevant</b> to PT practice:					
This paper is <b>well organized</b>					
This paper is easy to <b>understand</b>					
This paper is acceptable for <b>presentation</b> in poster format					

**Please leave comments on the questions below:**

Does the background logically outline the rationale and lead to the hypothesis for this study?

Were the methods clearly defined and supported by the study?

Identify any issues that make you question the results.

Are there any biases or limitations that we should consider?

Are conclusion thorough in explaining the results? Do they describe the relevance to PT practice?

Additional Comments about the **Wiki or powerpoint**: