Evaluations:

A research poster evaluation form was distributed during our poster presentation. Those who attended the poster presentation provided us with positive feedback on the following:

* Organization (making sure that the objectives were clearly explained, the poster was accurate and complete and that information flowed well),
* Quality of research (including scientific/methodology rigor, clinically relevant research question, and clearly explaining the significance of the research)
* Quality of text and visual aids (including tables, charts and images that augmented the viewers understanding of results/research).
* Quality of verbal explanation of research (engaging and insightful responses).

Specific comments from individuals in the PT department were:

* “Poster and presentation information was excellent”
* “Presenters did a great job and ‘know their stuff’. We had a good discussion about the difference between statistical and clinical significance.”
* “Great poster- I look forward to future work and research. Presented well: clear, organized and concise”.
* “Clearly understood and explained why the research was important and what the necessary next step will be. Very well done.”
* “The research problem is absolutely clinically relevant and the significance of the research is clearly explained.”
* “Presenters were very conversational in discussion of research.”
* “Very clear and thorough explanation of research. Poster was easy to read. Presenters answered questions with clarity and demonstrated forward thinking abilities. Great work.”