## Student Name: Lindsay Saunders (2018) Clinical topic: Stabilization Exercises for Pregnancy-related Pelvic Pain Databases Searched: PubMed, CINAHL, Web of Science

• Single blind     Treatment Program Forestraging on Specific Subdiving System (Georgian Blass*)     Treatment Program Forestraging on Specific Subdiving Exercises for Pelvic <i>N</i> • Evidence Level: IB Blass*, of Blass*, 710     Subdiving Exercises for Pelvic Girdle Pain 78 at follow up <i>N</i> • 81 at the beginning of the study • 78 at follow up     After Pregnancy <i>Population</i> • Women with pelvic girdle pain during pregnancy or within 3 weeks of delivery who had given birth 6-16 weeks prior to the start of the study • Participants had to have a (+) Pelvic Pain Provocation and ASLR test unilaterally or bilaterally as well as pain at the puble symphysis or at the long dorsal ligament <i>Intervention</i> • Overest intervention period • Lowering number of PT sessions over the study period: 11 for each group <i>Exercinental group</i> : • Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training and stretching • Exercises were progressed accordingly after 4 weeks • Participants serve Th weekly for progression and modification of exercises • Participants serve Th weekly for progression and modification of exercises • Participants serve assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery • Demographic information was collected via questionnaine • Primary outcomes include pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale- VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI) • Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36     Stage B, Larum E, Krikesola G, Willestad N. The Efficacy of a outcomes and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF as d to meake and one yeary post intervention (+ 0.001)     Stage B, Larum E, Kri	Design	• RCT	The Efficacy of a
Evidence Level/fixed Dises**       • Evidence Level: 1B Evidence Lev			Treatment Program
<i>Dividence</i> 1, 10       For Petric Gridle Pain After Pregnancy <i>N</i> • 81 at the beginning of the study • 78 at follow up       for Petric Gridle Pain After Pregnancy <i>Population</i> • Women with pelvic girdle pain during pregnancy or within 3 weeks of delivery who had given birth 6-16 weeks prior to the start of the study       for the start of the study <i>Population</i> • Women with pelvic girdle pain during pregnancy or within 3 weeks of delivery who had given birth 6-16 weeks prior to the start of the study       for the start of the study <i>Intervention</i> • 20-week intervention period       see and see an anipulation, relaxation training and stretching <i>Intervention</i> • 20-week intervention period       see accordingly affer 4 weeks         • Participants recorded exercise in a diary       - Farticipants recorded exercise in a diary <i>Control group:</i> • Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training, stretching, and hot packs with NO stabilization exercises in a diary <i>Outcomest</i> • Outcomest were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery         • Demographic information was collected via questionnaire       • Outcomest were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery         • Demographic information was collected via questionnaire       • Outcomest were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery		Stratified design based on pain location	
Direction       • Risk of Bas: 7/10       After Pregnancy         N       • 81 at the beginning of the study       • 73 at follow up         Population       • Women with pelvic girlle pain during pregnancy or within 3 weeks of delivery who had given birth 6-16 weeks prior to the start of the study       • Participants had to have (+) Pelvic Pain Provocation and ASLR test unilaterally or bilaterally as well as pain at the pubic symphysis or at the long dorsal ligament       • Women with pelvic girlle pain during pregnancy or within 3 weeks of delivery who had given birth 6-16 weeks prior to the start of the study       • Participants had to have (+) Pelvic Pain Provocation and ASLR test unilaterally or bilaterally as well as pain at the pubic symphysis or at the long dorsal ligament         Intervention       • 20-week intervention period       • Average number of PT sessions over the study period: 11 for each group         Experimental group:       • Individual program with egonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training and stretching       • Exercises         • Participants were instructed to exercise for 30.60 minutes, 3 daydweek for 20 weeks       • Participants were instructed to exercise for 30.60 minutes, 3 daydweek for 20 weeks       • Participants as a PT weekly for progression and modification of exercises         • Participants were instructed to exercise for 30.60 minutes, 3 daydweek for 20 weeks       • Participants were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, relaxation training, stretching, and hot packs with NO stabilization exercises       • Participants assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year po		• Evidence Level: 1B	
• 78 at follow up       • 78 at follow up         Population       • Women with pelvic girdle pain during pregnancy or within 3 weeks of delivery who had given birth 6-16 weeks prior to the start of the study       • Participants had to have a (+) Pelvic Pain Provocation and ASLR test unilaterally or bilaterally as well as pain at the pubic symphysis or at the long dorsal igament         Intervention       • 20-week intervention period       • Average number of PT sessions over the study period: 11 for each group         Experimental group:       • Individual program with regronomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training and stretching       • Exercises were progressed accordingly after 4 weeks         • Participants were restructed to exercise for 30-00 minutes, 3 days/week for 20 weeks       • Participants recorded exercise in a diary         Control group:       • Individual program with regronomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training, stretching, and hot packs with NO stabilization exercises       • Participants were evaluated biweekly by a physical therapist         Outcomes       • Outcomes were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery       • Demographic information was collected via questionnaire         Principants were evaluated biweekly by a physical therapist       • Outcomes were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery       • Demographic information was collected via questionnaire         • Participants were evaluated biweekly by a physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF.36		Risk of Bias: 7/10	-
Image: Section of the study       • Outcomes	N		
<ul> <li>Average number of PT sessions over the study period: 11 for each group</li> <li>Experimental group:         <ul> <li>Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training and stretching</li> <li>Exercises were progressed accordingly after 4 weeks</li> <li>Participants were instructed to exercise for 30-60 minutes, 3 days/week for 20 weeks</li> <li>Participants recorded exercise in a diary</li> </ul> </li> <li>Control group:         <ul> <li>Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training, stretching, and hot packs with NO stabilization exercises</li> <li>Participants were evaluated biweekly by a physical therapist</li> </ul> </li> <li>Outcomes</li> <li>Outcomes were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery</li> <li>Demographic information was collected via questionnaire</li> <li>Primary outcomes include pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale- VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI)</li> <li>Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36</li> <li>Stuge B, Lærum E, Kirkesola G, Vollestad N: The Efficacty of a Treatment Program and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 is a to ne week and one year post intervention (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times</li> </ul>	Population	<ul> <li>the start of the study</li> <li>Participants had to have a (+) Pelvic Pain Provocation and ASLR test unilaterally or bilaterally as well as pain at the</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training and stretching</li> <li>Exercises were progressed accordingly after 4 weeks</li> <li>Participants saw PT weekly for progression and modification of exercises</li> <li>Participants saw PT weekly for progression and modification of exercises</li> <li>Participants recorded exercise in a diary</li> <li>Control group:         <ul> <li>Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training, stretching, and hot packs with NO stabilization exercises</li> <li>Participants were evaluated biweekly by a physical therapist</li> </ul> </li> <li>Outcomes</li> <li>Outcomes were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery</li> <li>Demographic information was collected via questionnaire</li> <li>Primary outcomes include pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale- VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI)</li> <li>Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36</li> <li>Stuge B, Lærum E, Kirkesola G, Vøllestad</li> <li>N. The Efficacy of a Treatment program devening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 to no week and one year post intervention (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times</li> </ul>	Intervention		
<ul> <li>Exercises were progressed accordingly after 4 weeks</li> <li>Participants were instructed to exercise for 30-60 minutes, 3 days/week for 20 weeks</li> <li>Participants saw PT weekly for progression and modification of exercises</li> <li>Participants recorded exercise in a diary</li> <li>Control group:         <ul> <li>Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training, stretching, and hot packs with NO stabilization exercises</li> <li>Participants were evaluated biweekly by a physical therapist</li> </ul> </li> <li>Outcomes</li> <li>Outcomes were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery</li> <li>Demographic information was collected via questionnaire</li> <li>Primary outcomes include pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale- VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI)</li> <li>Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36</li> <li>Results</li> <li>Groups were not significantly different at baseline</li> <li>Compared to the control, those in the experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in ODI score, morning and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 at one week and one year post intervention (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating froe Pevice (Stabilizing Exercises for Pev</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>Participants were instructed to exercise for 30-60 minutes, 3 days/week for 20 weeks</li> <li>Participants saw PT weekly for progression and modification of exercises</li> <li>Participants recorded exercise in a diary</li> <li>Control group:         <ul> <li>Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training, stretching, and hot packs with NO stabilization exercises</li> <li>Participants were evaluated biweekly by a physical therapist</li> </ul> </li> <li>Outcomes         <ul> <li>Outcomes were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery</li> <li>Demographic information was collected via questionnaire</li> <li>Primary outcomes include pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale- VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI)</li> <li>Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36</li> </ul> </li> <li>Results         <ul> <li>Groups were not significantly different at baseline</li> <li>Compared to the control, those in the experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in ODI score, morning and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 at one week and one year post intervention (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times</li> <li>After Pregnancy. Spine.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Participants saw PT weekly for progression and modification of exercises</li> <li>Participants recorded exercise in a diary</li> <li>Control group:         <ul> <li>Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training, stretching, and hot packs with NO stabilization exercises</li> <li>Participants were evaluated biweekly by a physical therapist</li> </ul> </li> <li>Outcomes</li> <li>Outcomes were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery</li> <li>Demographic information was collected via questionnaire</li> <li>Primary outcomes include pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale- VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI)</li> <li>Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36</li> <li>Stuge B, Lærum E, Kirkesola G, Vøllestad</li> <li>N: The Efficacy of a Treatment Program 36 at one week and one year post intervention (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Participants recorded exercise in a diary</li> <li>Control group:         <ul> <li>Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training, stretching, and hot packs with NO stabilization exercises</li> <li>Participants were evaluated biweekly by a physical therapist</li> </ul> </li> <li>Outcomes         <ul> <li>Outcomes were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery</li> <li>Demographic information was collected via questionnaire</li> <li>Primary outcomes include pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale- VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI)</li> <li>Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36</li> </ul> </li> <li>Results         <ul> <li>Groups were not significantly different at baseline</li> <li>Compared to the control, those in the experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in ODI score, morning and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 at one week and one year post intervention (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
Control group:       • Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training, stretching, and hot packs with NO stabilization exercises       • Participants were evaluated biweekly by a physical therapist         Outcomes       • Outcomes were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery       • Demographic information was collected via questionnaire         Primary outcomes include pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale- VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI)       • Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36         Results       • Groups were not significantly different at baseline       • Compared to the control, those in the experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in ODI score, morning and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 at one week and one year post intervention (p <0.001)       • Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p <0.001)       • Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times       After Pregnancy. Spine.			
<ul> <li>Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training, stretching, and hot packs with NO stabilization exercises</li> <li>Participants were evaluated biweekly by a physical therapist</li> <li>Outcomes</li> <li>Outcomes were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery</li> <li>Demographic information was collected via questionnaire</li> <li>Primary outcomes include pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale- VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI)</li> <li>Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36</li> <li>Results</li> <li>Groups were not significantly different at baseline</li> <li>Compared to the control, those in the experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in ODI score, morning and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 at one week and one year post intervention (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times</li> </ul>		Participants recorded exercise in a diary	
NO stabilization exercises       NO stabilization exercises         Participants were evaluated biweekly by a physical therapist         Outcomes       Outcomes were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery         Demographic information was collected via questionnaire       Primary outcomes include pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale- VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI)         Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36       Stuge B, Lærum E, Kirkesola G, Vøllestad N. The Efficacy of a Treatment Program and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 at one week and one year post intervention (p <0.001)       Store less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p <0.001)       Studilizing Exercises for Pelvic Giridle Pain After Pregnancy. Spine.			
Outcomes       • Outcomes were assessed at baseline, 1 week after completing the intervention, and at 1-year post delivery       • Demographic information was collected via questionnaire       • Primary outcomes include pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale- VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI)       • Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36       Stuge B, Lærum E, Kirkesola G, Vøllestad         Results       • Groups were not significantly different at baseline       • Compared to the control, those in the experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in ODI score, morning and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 at one week and one year post intervention (p <0.001)       • Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p <0.001)       • Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times       After Pregnancy. Spine.		NO stabilization exercises	
<ul> <li>Demographic information was collected via questionnaire</li> <li>Primary outcomes include pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale- VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI)</li> <li>Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36</li> <li>Groups were not significantly different at baseline</li> <li>Compared to the control, those in the experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in ODI score, morning and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 at one week and one year post intervention (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times</li> </ul>		Participants were evaluated biweekly by a physical therapist	
<ul> <li>Primary outcomes include pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale- VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI)</li> <li>Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36</li> <li>Groups were not significantly different at baseline</li> <li>Compared to the control, those in the experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in ODI score, morning and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 at one week and one year post intervention (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times</li> </ul>	Outcomes		
Disability Index- ODI)       •         Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36       Stuge B, Lærum E,         Results       •       Groups were not significantly different at baseline       Stuge B, Lærum E,         •       Compared to the control, those in the experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in ODI score, morning and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 at one week and one year post intervention (p <0.001)       N. The Efficacy of a Treatment Program Focusing on Specific Stabilizing Exercises for Pelvic Girdle Pain After Pregnancy. Spine.         •       Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times       After Pregnancy. Spine.			
Results• Groups were not significantly different at baseline • Compared to the control, those in the experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in ODI score, morning and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF- 36 at one week and one year post intervention (p <0.001)			
<ul> <li>Groups were not significantly different at baseline</li> <li>Compared to the control, those in the experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in ODI score, morning and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 at one week and one year post intervention (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times</li> </ul>		• Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36	
<ul> <li>Compared to the control, those in the experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in ODI score, morning and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF-36 at one week and one year post intervention (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times</li> </ul>	Results	Groups were not significantly different at baseline	
<ul> <li>and evening pain measured by the VAS, and the physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain domains of the SF- 36 at one week and one year post intervention (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>36 at one week and one year post intervention (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating minimal disability (p &lt;0.001)</li> <li>Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times</li> </ul>		36 at one week and one year post intervention ( $p < 0.001$ )	
• Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times After Pregnancy. Spine.		• Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to score less than 25 on the ODI, indicating	Stabilizing Exercises
		• Both groups reported 80% compliance with study protocol. Both groups also saw a PT an average of 11 times	After Pregnancy. Spine.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Evidence Level evaluated using Portney and Watkins, 2009; Risk of Bias evaluated using the PEDro Scale

Design	Follow up to a previous RCT	The Efficacy of a
Design	<ul> <li>Single blind</li> </ul>	Treatment Program
	Stratified design based on pain location	Focusing on Specific
Evidence	Evidence Level: 1B	Stabilizing Exercises
Level/Risk of	Risk of Bias: 7/10	for Pelvic Girdle Pain
Bias*2		After Pregnancy A
N	65 at the beginning of the study	Two-Year Follow-up of a Randomized Clinical Trial
Population	Women who participated in the previous study	
Intervention	• 20-week intervention period	
	Experimental group:	
	• Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training and stretching	
	• Exercises were progressed accordingly after 4 weeks	
	<ul> <li>Participants were instructed to exercise for 30-60 minutes, 3 days/week for the intervention period</li> </ul>	
	Participants saw PT weekly for progression and modification of exercises	
	Control group:	
	<ul> <li>Individual program with ergonomic advice, massage, manipulation, relaxation training, stretching, and hot packs with NO stabilization exercises.</li> </ul>	
	• Participants were evaluated biweekly by a physical therapist	
Outcomes	• Primary outcomes assessed after 2 years included pain (assessed via Visual Analogue Scale-VAS) and functional status (assessed via Oswestry Disability Index- ODI)	
	• Health-related quality of life was assessed by the SF-36	
	• All outcomes were assessed via an independent investigator blinded to group allocation	
Results	Groups were not significantly different at baseline	
	• The significant improvements in functional status and morning and evening pain were maintained 2 years postpartum for the experimental group (p <0.005)	
	• In the experimental group, no significant changes in functional status were seen between years 1 and 2; the control	
	group did experience significant changes in function (p < $0.001$ ) but not in pain (p > $0.12$ )	Stuge B, Lærum E,
	• 85% of women in the experimental group reported minimal disability on the ODI at 2 years compared to 47% in the	Kirkesola G, Vøllestad
	control; 68% and 77% of the experimental group reported no or minimal evening or morning pain respectively	N. The Efficacy of a Treatment Program
	<ul> <li>23% and 45% in the control group reported no morning or evening pain</li> <li>The abusis of functioning (n &lt; 0.002) and a during (n &lt; 0.05), and badily again (n &lt; 0.001) demains of the SE 26</li> </ul>	Focusing on Specific
	• The physical functioning ( $p < 0.002$ ), role physical ( $p < 0.05$ ), and bodily pain ( $p < 0.001$ ) domains of the SF-36 remained significantly improved in the experimental group as compared to the control	Stabilizing Exercises
	<ul> <li>Those in the control group demonstrated significant improvements in the physicals function, role-physical, bodily pain,</li> </ul>	for Pelvic Girdle Pain
	and vitality	After Pregnancy A
	<ul> <li>Subscales of the SF-36 but continued to demonstrate significantly lower scores when compared to the experimental</li> </ul>	Two-Year Follow-up of
	group	a Randomized Clinical
		Trial. Spine. 2004;29
		(10): E197–E203

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Evidence Level evaluated using Portney and Watkins, 2009; Risk of Bias evaluated using the PEDro Scale

Design	<ul> <li>RCT</li> <li>Assessor blind</li> <li>Stratified based on number of previous births</li> </ul>	Effect of Three Different Physical Therapy Treatments
Evidence Level/Risk of Bias* <sup>3</sup>	<ul> <li>Evidence Level: 1B</li> <li>Risk of Bias: 6/10</li> </ul>	on Pain and Activity in Pregnant Women With Pelvic Girdle
N	<ul> <li>118 at the beginning of the study</li> <li>89 at follow up</li> </ul>	Pain: A Randomized Clinical Trial With 3, 6, and 12 Months
Population	<ul> <li>Pregnant women prior to 35 weeks gestation who tested positive for pain at the pubic symphysis and on at least 3 of the following tests: palpation over the sacroiliac joints, iliac gapping or compression Patrick test, posterior pelvic pain provocation test, symphysis pubis pressure test, sacral apex test, and active straight leg raise</li> <li>Participants were excluded if they had evidence of low back pain</li> <li>On average, women were 21-25 weeks pregnant at the onset of the intervention period</li> </ul>	Follow-up Postpartum
Intervention	• The intervention ran until the participant reached gestational week 38; duration varied based on gestational stage at inclusion	
	<ul> <li>Information group:</li> <li>Received advice on ergonomics and a non-rigid sacroiliac belt</li> </ul>	
	Home Exercise:	
	<ul> <li>Received the same as the first group plus 3 home exercises to help stabilize the pelvic girdle +stretching</li> <li>Exercises involved holding a ball between the knees in sitting, standing, and quadruped while performing various movements of the arms and legs</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Received one follow up visit with PT</li> </ul>	
	Outpatient PT:	
	• Received the same education and sacroiliac belt as the other groups but participated in an individual training program using equipment in a PT gym (lateral pulls, curl ups, rowing, leg press)	
	Participants warmed up on a stationary bike and ended the session with stretching	
	• Exercises were performed weekly until the 39 <sup>th</sup> week of pregnancy	NI'I 337'I T
	PT supervised first exercise session only	Nilsson-Wikmar L, Holm K, Öijerstedt R,
Outcomes	Groups were not significantly different at baseline	Harms-Ringdahl K.
	<ul> <li>Pain intensity was measured using a Visual Analogue Scale and a body drawing to indicate location and type of pain</li> <li>The Disability Rating Index (DRI) was used to measure activity</li> </ul>	Effect of Three
	<ul> <li>Outcomes were assessed at baseline, at 38 weeks gestation, and at 3, 6, and 12 months postpartum</li> </ul>	Different Physical Therapy Treatments on
Results	Groups were not significantly different at baseline	Pain and Activity in
	• There were no significant differences between groups for any outcome measures at gestational week ~38 or at 3, 6, or 12 months postpartum	Pregnant Women With Pelvic Girdle Pain: A
	• At 3, 6, and 12 months postpartum, all groups demonstrated significant reductions in pain intensity (p = 0.00) and location (p =0.00-0.01), improvement in activity measured by the DRI (p = 0.00)	Randomized Clinical Trial With 3, 6, and 12
	No patient reported being pain free at 38 weeks gestation	Months Follow-up Postpartum. <i>Spine</i> . 2005;30(8):850-856.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Evidence Level evaluated using Portney and Watkins, 2009; Risk of Bias evaluated using the PEDro Scale

Design	<ul> <li>RCT</li> <li>Assessor blind</li> <li>Stratified based on number of previous births</li> </ul>	Does regular exercise during pregnancy influence lumbopelvic
Evidence Level/Risk of Bias* <sup>4</sup>	<ul> <li>Evidence Level: 1B</li> <li>Risk of Bias: 7/10</li> </ul>	pain? A randomized controlled trial
Ν	<ul> <li>855 at the beginning of the study</li> <li>761 at follow up</li> </ul>	
Population	<ul> <li>Women in weeks 18-22 of their pregnancy who were not carrying multiples</li> <li>Anyone with a high risk pregnancy was excluded</li> </ul>	
Intervention	12-week intervention period.     Experimental group:	
	<ul> <li>Standardized exercise program; aerobic, strength, and balance exercises were included</li> <li>Participants engaged in a group exercise class (8-15 participants each) once a week between weeks 20 and 32 of pregnancy</li> <li>Sessions were supervised by a trained PT and lasted 60 minutes</li> <li>Participants also provided with advice on ergonomics and everyday activity performance</li> <li>Participants were provided with home exercise program to perform 2x/week for 45 minutes (30 minutes of aerobic activity and 15 minutes of strengthening and balance activities)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li><u>Control group:</u></li> <li>Standard antenatal care provided by their practitioner with customized patient education on pelvic floor exercises</li> <li>Participants were not discouraged from exercising</li> </ul>	
Outcomes	<ul> <li>Data was collected at entry to the study (from 18-22 weeks of gestation) and again at 32-36 weeks of gestation</li> <li>Primary outcomes were lumbopelvic pain and related sick leave (reported using a simple questionnaire)</li> <li>Secondary outcomes included fear avoidance (measured via Modified Fear Avoidance Behavior Questionnaire- m-FABQ), disability (measured via Disability Rating Index- DRI), and pain intensity (measured via Visual Analogue Scale-VAS)</li> <li>Adherence to protocol was defined as performing the home exercises 2x/week and participating in the group exercise class</li> </ul>	Stafne SN, Salvesen KÅ, Romundstad PR, Stuge B, Mørkved S.
Results	<ul> <li>Groups were not significantly different at baseline</li> <li>Participants in the experimental group were significantly more likely to exercise at moderate-high intensity 2 days/week when compared to the control (p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Those in the experimental group were significantly more likely to adhere to study protocol than those in the control group (p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>There were no significant differences between groups for any of the outcomes after the 12-week intervention with the exception of sick leave reduction, which favored the experimental group (p = 0.01)</li> </ul>	Does regular exercise during pregnancy influence lumbopelvic pain? A randomized controlled trial. <i>Acta</i> <i>Obstetricia et</i> <i>Gynecologica</i> <i>Scandinavica</i> . 2012;91(5):552-559.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Evidence Level evaluated using Portney and Watkins, 2009; Risk of Bias evaluated using the PEDro Scale

Design	• RCT	A randomized controlled trial
Evidence Level/Risk of Bias* <sup>5</sup>	<ul> <li>Evidence Level: 1B</li> <li>Risk of Bias: 4/10</li> </ul>	comparing a multimodal intervention and
N	<ul> <li>169 at the beginning of the study</li> <li>137 at follow up</li> </ul>	standard obstetrics care for low back and pelvic pain in
Population	<ul> <li>Pregnant women at 24-28 weeks of gestation</li> <li>Diagnosed with low back or pelvic pain</li> <li>Not carrying multiples</li> </ul>	pregnancy
Intervention	4-6 week intervention period	
	<ul> <li>Experimental group:</li> <li>Received standard obstetric care plus multimodal musculoskeletal and obstetric treatment (MOM)</li> <li>Participants visited chiropractor weekly until 33 weeks gestation for manual therapy including education, manual therapy (joint mobilization, myofascial and positional release), and stabilization exercises for the muscles of the core</li> <li>Participants were provided with a home exercise program to perform 2x/day</li> <li>Sacroiliac belts were provided in cases of severe pain or instability</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Control group:</li> <li>Received standard obstetric care only. OB/GYN was to recommend at least one of the following as needed: rest, aerobic exercise, heating pad application, use of acetaminophen for mild pain, or narcotics for discomfort unrelieved by other measures</li> </ul>	
Outcomes	<ul> <li>Primary outcomes include disability (assessed via Quebec Disability Questionnaire- QDQ), pain (assessed via numeric rating scale- NRS), and physical function (assessed via NRS)</li> <li>Participants also underwent a physical examination for pain including the passive and active straight leg raise, Posterior Pelvic Pain Provocation test, and long dorsal ligament test</li> <li>Participants were evaluated at baseline and again at 33 weeks gestation</li> <li>At 33 weeks, participants also completed the Patient's Global Impression of Change survey to assess how they perceived their progress</li> </ul>	George JW, Skaggs CD, Thompson PA, Nelson DM, Gavard JA, Gross GA. A randomized controlled trial comparing a
Results	<ul> <li>Groups were not significantly different at baseline</li> <li>From baseline to 33 weeks gestation, those in the experimental group saw significant reductions in pain on 7 pain measures (NRS, QDQ, passive and active SLR, long dorsal ligament test, and leg pain)</li> <li>The control group only saw significant reductions in pain on one pain measure (leg pain)</li> <li>Participants in the control group reported significantly increased pain on 5 pain measures (QDQ, bilateral active and passive SLR, and groin pain)</li> </ul>	multimodal intervention and standard obstetrics care for low back and pelvic pain in pregnancy. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2013;208(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Evidence Level evaluated using Portney and Watkins, 2009; Risk of Bias evaluated using the PEDro Scale

Design	<ul><li>RCT</li><li>Single blind</li></ul>	Specific muscle stabilizing as home
Evidence Level/Risk of Bias* <sup>6</sup>	<ul> <li>Evidence Level: IB</li> <li>Risk of Bias: 6/10</li> </ul>	exercises for persistent pelvic girdle pain after pregnancy: A
N	<ul> <li>88 at the beginning of the study</li> <li>65 at 3-month follow up</li> <li>60 at six-month follow up</li> </ul>	randomized, controlled clinical trial
Population	<ul> <li>Women with lumbopelvic pain who had given birth within the previous 3 months</li> <li>Participants were randomly assigned to one of two groups after a baseline examination</li> <li>All possible participants were evaluated by one of two trained PTs and the diagnosis confirmed using provocation testing</li> <li>Participants were included if they had 2 or more positive tests. Women with lumbar pain in addition to pelvic pain were also included</li> </ul>	
Intervention	<ul> <li>Experimental group:</li> <li>Participants were provided with an individualized home exercise program instructed to perform 10 repetitions of specific core stabilizing exercises at least 2x/day (including exercises for the pelvic floor). All exercises were centered on activities of daily living</li> <li>Exercises were progressed through 3 stages: segmental control, closed chain, and open chain</li> <li>Exercise difficulty was progressed according to each individual participant's needs</li> <li>Participants met with PT every other week to modify and progress exercises</li> <li>Participants instructed to keep activity diary</li> </ul> Control group: <ul> <li>Single telephone conversation with PT to provide information about pelvic pain</li> </ul>	
Outcomes	<ul> <li>Baseline demographic data was collected via questionnaire</li> <li>The primary outcome was disability as measured by the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI)</li> <li>Secondary outcomes included pain (measured via Visual Analogue Scale-VAS), health-related quality of life (measured via the EQ-5D), muscle function, satisfaction with symptom relief (measured via questionnaire), and wellbeing (measured via Visual Analogue Scale-VAS)</li> <li>Pelvic floor muscle function was assessed using EMG</li> <li>Hip extensor strength was assessed with handheld dynamometry</li> <li>Isometric endurance of the abdominals and back extensors were tested using standard testing procedures</li> </ul>	
Results	<ul> <li>Groups were not significantly different at baseline</li> <li>There were no significant differences in disability score between groups at the 3 or 6 moth follow-ups (p = 0.205; p = 0.358). However, the experimental group showed significant improvement at both follow ups (p &lt;0.05) and the control group showed significant improvement at 6 moths (p &lt;0.05)</li> <li>Those in the treatment group demonstrated significant improvements in pain frequency compared to the control at the 3-month follow up (p = 0.011)</li> <li>There were no significant differences between groups for pain intensity, health related quality of life, wellbeing, or symptom satisfaction at either follow-up. However, both groups saw significant improvements in pain intensity at each follow up (p &lt; 0.001).</li> <li>The experimental group showed significant improvements in symptom satisfaction at each follow up and the control group demonstrated significant increases in satisfaction at 6 months.</li> <li>The experimental group demonstrated significantly improved hip extensor strength at both follow ups. There were no</li> </ul>	Gutke A, Sjödahl J, öberg B. Specific muscle stabilizing as home exercises for persistent pelvic girdle pain after pregnancy: A randomized, controlled clinical trial. <i>Journal of</i> <i>Rehabilitation</i> <i>Medicine</i> . 2010;42(10):929-935.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Evidence Level evaluated using Portney and Watkins, 2009; Risk of Bias evaluated using the PEDro Scale

Design	• RCT	Can Supervised
	Single blind	Group Exercises
Evidence	• Evidence Level: 1B	Including Ergonomic
Level/Risk of	Risk of Bias: 7/10	Advice Reduce the Prevalence and
Bias*7		Severity of Low Back
N	• 257 at the beginning of the study	Pain and Pelvic Girdle
	• 210 at follow up	Pain and Pervic Girdle Pain in Pregnancy? A
Population	Women with lumbopelvic pain who had given birth within the previous 3 months	Randomized
1	<ul> <li>Participants were randomly assigned to one of two groups after a baseline examination</li> </ul>	Controlled Trial
	<ul> <li>All possible participants were evaluated by one of two trained PTs and the diagnosis confirmed using provocation</li> </ul>	
	testing	
	<ul> <li>Participants were included if they had 2 or more positive tests. Women with lumbar pain in addition to pelvic pain were</li> </ul>	
	also included	
Intervention	Experimental group:	
interrention	<ul> <li>Received standard obstetric care, advice on ergonomics and posture for daily activities, and exercise</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Participants were involved in weekly group exercise (8 women/group) plus a home exercise program focused on motor</li> </ul>	
	control and stabilization of the lumbopelvic hip complex	
	<ul> <li>Exercises were individualized to meet individual needs and capabilities</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Group exercise ran until the participant reached 36 weeks gestation (16-20 weeks)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Classes focused on aerobic activity and stabilization exercises and were supervised by a physical therapist</li> </ul>	
	• Each home program had approximately 3 exercises meant to build on exercises performed in the group classes	
	Control group:	
	<ul> <li>Received standard obstetric care only, but was not discouraged from exercising</li> </ul>	
		Eggen MH, Stuge B,
Outcomes	• Outcomes were assessed at baseline and again at 24, 28, 32, and 36 weeks	Mowinckel P, Jensen
	• The primary outcome was the presence of lumbar or pelvic pain (assessed via questionnaire)	KS, Hagen KB. Can
	• Secondary outcomes included pain (measured via a numeric rating scale-NRS) and disability (measured via Roland-	Supervised Group
	Morris Disability Questionnaire- RMDQ)	Exercises Including
	• The physical and mental components of the SF-8 were also used as outcome measures	Ergonomic Advice
		Reduce the Prevalence
		and Severity of Low
Results	Groups were not significantly different at baseline	Back Pain and Pelvic Girdle Pain in
	• There were no significant differences between groups for any outcome measure at any time point	Pregnancy? A
		Randomized Controlled
		Trial. <i>Physical Therapy</i> .
		2012;92(6):781-790.
		2012;92(0):781-790.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Evidence Level evaluated using Portney and Watkins, 2009; Risk of Bias evaluated using the PEDro Scale

Design	<ul><li>RCT</li><li>Single blind</li></ul>	Evaluation of the efficacy of an exercise
Evidence	Evidence Level: 1B	program for pregnant
Level/Risk of Bias* <sup>8</sup>	Risk of Bias: 7/10	women with low back and pelvic pain: a
N	• 96 at the beginning of the study	prospective
	• 96 at follow up	randomized controlled
Population	Women between weeks 20 and 35 of gestation	trial
	<ul> <li>Did not have pelvic or back pain prior to pregnancy</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Did not exercise regularly</li> </ul>	
Intervention	<ul> <li>4-week intervention period.</li> </ul>	-
	<ul> <li>Experimental group:</li> <li>Received education on ergonomics, body mechanics, and exercise from a qualified nurse practitioner.</li> <li>Participants were instructed to perform exercises for a minimum of 30 minutes/day, 3 days/week.</li> <li>Participants could choose between a walking program or stretching and strengthening exercises depending on the weather.</li> <li>Programs were individualized according to the patients needs and exercises were recorded in diaries.</li> <li>Participants spoke to the nurse 3x/week via phone</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Control group:</li> <li>Received routine care but were not discouraged from exercising.</li> <li>Participants spoke to the nurse 1x/week via phone</li> </ul>	
Outcomes	Outcomes were assessed at baseline and after the 4-week intervention	
	The primary outcomes were pain and functional status	
	Pain intensity at rest and during activity was measured via Visual Analogue Scale (VAS)	
	Functional status was measured using the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI)	
	• Exercise levels were measured using the participant's self-report exercise diary	Ozdemir S, Bebis H, Ortabag T, Acikel C.
Results	Groups were not significantly different at baseline for any demographic characteristics or outcome measures	Evaluation of the
	• The experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in pain at rest and during activity $(p = 0.001)$	efficacy of an exercise program for pregnant
	• The control group demonstrated a nonsignificant increase in pain during the study period	women with low back
	• There was a statistically significant difference in VAS scores between groups in favor of the experimental group (p = 0.001)	and pelvic pain: a prospective randomized
	• There was also a statistically significant difference in ODI scores in favor of the experimental group $(p = 0.001)$	controlled trial. Journal
	<ul> <li>The type of exercise used did not have a significant impact on pain or functional status at the conclusion of the intervention (p &gt; 0.05)</li> </ul>	of Advanced Nursing. 2015;71(8):1926-1939.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Evidence Level evaluated using Portney and Watkins, 2009; Risk of Bias evaluated using the PEDro Scale

Design	<ul><li>RCT</li><li>Single blind</li></ul>	Management of Symphysis Pubis
Evidence Level/Risk of Bias* <sup>9</sup>	<ul> <li>Evidence Level: 1B</li> <li>Risk of Bias: 6/10</li> </ul>	Dysfunction During Pregnancy Using Exercise and Pelvic
N	<ul> <li>90 at the beginning of the study</li> <li>87 at follow up</li> </ul>	Support Belts
Population	<ul> <li>Pregnant women with a diagnosis of pubic symphysis pain</li> <li>Pain may or may not radiate to the groin</li> <li>Participants had to have a positive Active Straight Leg Raise test on either the left of the right side</li> </ul>	
Intervention	1 week intervention period	
	<ul> <li>Exercise only:</li> <li>Received information about pelvic pain and education on ergonomics during everyday activities</li> <li>Participants were instructed to perform five exercises 3x/day</li> <li>Exercises aimed to address core strength and stability</li> <li>Participants were instructed to record exercise in a diary provided by the researchers</li> </ul> Exercise plus non-rigid support belt: <ul> <li>Received the same education and exercises as the exercise group</li> <li>Participants were instructed to record exercise and the number of hours they wore the belt in a diary provided by researchers</li> <li>Participants were not instructed as to when they should wear the support belt</li> <li>Received the same education and exercises as the exercise group</li> <li>Participants were provided with a rigid support belt</li> </ul> Exercise plus rigid support belt: <ul> <li>Received the same education and exercises as the exercise group</li> <li>Participants were not instructed as to when they should wear the support belt</li> </ul> Exercise plus rigid support belt: <ul> <li>Received the same education and exercises as the exercise group</li> <li>Participants were provided with a rigid support belt</li> </ul> Participants were not instructed to record exercise and the number of hours they wore the belt in a diary provided by researchers <ul> <li>Participants were not instructed to record exercise and the number of hours they wore the belt in a diary provided by researchers</li> <li>Participants were not instructed to record exercise and the number of hours they wore the belt in a diary provided by researchers <ul> <li>Participants were not instructed to record exercise and the number of hours they wore the belt in a diary provided by researchers</li> <li>Participants were not instructed as to when they should wear the support belt</li> </ul></li></ul>	
Outcomes	<ul> <li>Outcomes were assessed by a physical therapists who were unaware of group assignment</li> <li>Outcomes were assessed at baseline and again after the one week intervention period</li> <li>Function was assessed using a modified version of the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ) and the Patient Specific Functional Scale (PSFS)</li> <li>Pain was measured using the Numeric Rating Scale (NRS)</li> </ul>	Depledge J, McNair PJ,
Results	<ul> <li>Groups were not significantly different at baseline</li> <li>All three groups demonstrated significant improvements in function, but there were no significant differences between groups (p &gt; 0.05). RMDQ scores decreased by an average of 22.7% in the exercise group, 17.9% in the exercise plus non-rigid support belt, and 17% in the exercise plus rigid support belt group. PSFS scores decreased by 38.6%, 25.4%, and 30.4% respectively</li> <li>Those in the exercise only and exercise plus rigid support belt demonstrated significant reductions in pain scores as measured by the VAS (31.8% and 29.2%, respectively; p &lt; 0.05)</li> <li>There were no significant differences in pain scores between groups (p &gt; 0.05)</li> </ul>	Keal-Smith C, and Williams, M. Management of Symphysis Pubis Dysfunction During Pregnancy Using Exercise and Pelvic Support Belts. <i>Physical</i> <i>Therapy</i> . January 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Evidence Level evaluated using Portney and Watkins, 2009; Risk of Bias evaluated using the PEDro Scale