Capstone Feedback Results:

Number of total faculty/student evaluations: 11

Rating Scale:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neither Agree nor Disagree | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Average Ratings (1-5):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section** | Average Rating (1-5) |
| **Title**  is concise and reflects abstract body: | 4.45 |
| **Purpose/Hypothesis**  is clear and concise: | 4.64 |
| **Methods**  Variables and statistical tests are clearly defined/described: | 4.91 |
| **Results**  Objective data is presented clearly and concisely: | 4.27 |
| **Conclusions**  clearly summarize and discuss results: | 4.73 |
| **Clinical Relevance**  is clearly and concisely expressed: | 4.73 |
| **Overall abstract is clear and concise:** | 4.64 |

Summary of feedback comments:

* Title is probably long although clear
* Provide a clear purpose statement
* Results section may be lengthy and could probably benefit from being more concise
* Focus on just a few directions in the results section
* List both the construct and measure in the conclusions section
* Consider trimming down the information in the abstract in general