

Vestibular Examination and Treatment for the Orthopedic Physical Therapist

Table 1: Oculomotor and Vestibular Tests¹⁻⁶

Test	Patient Position	Application	Abnormal Findings and Interpretation
Near Point of Convergence	Short sitting	Therapist brings pen tip close to patient's nose; maintaining it at eye-level. Record distance where patient reports seeing double. Therapist then brings pen tip away, records distance where patient reports seeing single, fused object.	Normal values: ≤ 7.5 centimeters for initial diplopia, ≤ 10.5 cm for recovery of single image. Abnormal values indicate vergence dysfunction, a common visual issue after mTBI.
Vestibulo-Ocular Reflex	Short sitting	Therapist instructs patient to maintain visual focus on therapist's nose. Therapist slowly turns patient's head in varying directions.	Multiple saccades or difficulty maintaining gaze on therapist's nose indicates a positive test and impaired VOR.
Head Shake Nystagmus	Short sitting, head flexed 30°, eyes closed	Therapist oscillates the head horizontally 20 times, 20° to each side, with a frequency of 2 Hz. After oscillation, the therapist observes for nystagmus in patient's open eyes.	>3 beats nystagmus with quick phase directed towards healthy ear and slow phase directed toward side of lesion indicates unilateral vestibular hypofunction
Head Thrust Test	Short sitting, head flexed 30°	Therapist applies a high-acceleration, low-amplitude head rotation to each side. Patient's eyes are to remain fixated on therapist's nose.	Corrective saccade after head rotation indicates abnormal VOR and UVH/BVH. Positive test result indicates lesion on the side to which the head was rotated.
Dix-Hallpike Positional Test	Long sitting, head rotated 45° toward test side	Therapist lowers patient from long sitting to supine, maintaining the head rotation and bringing the head into 30° of extension.	Vertigo, nausea, and/or nystagmus that resolve within 60 seconds indicate BPPV. Right side posterior canal BPPV: upbeat, right torsion Right side anterior canal BPPV: downbeat, right torsion
Dynamic Visual Acuity Test	Short sitting	Patient is asked to read the lowest line possible on Snellen eye chart. Therapist then horizontally oscillates patient's head with a frequency of 2 Hz while patient again reads the lowest line possible.	Greater than 2 line loss (patient must read larger text on chart with head movement) indicates insufficient VOR and UVH/BVH.
Sharpened Romberg	Standing, eyes closed, feet in tandem, arms crossed over chest	Therapist observes patient for postural sway and/or loss of balance in each position. Patient is asked to reverse foot position for a second test.	Patient unable to balance 30 seconds or excessive postural sway indicates positive test and UVH/BVH. Sharpened Romberg is often negative for central lesions.
Modified Clinical Test of Sensory Interaction and Balance (CTSIB)	1) Stand with eyes open on firm surface 2) Stand with eyes closed on firm surface 3) Stand with eyes open on compliant surface 4) Stand with eyes closed on compliant surface		Unable to balance/excessive sway in one or more positions indicates abnormality. Condition 4 challenges the vestibular system most; allows for differentiation between vestibular and non-vestibular balance issues.

Key: Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo (BPPV), Bilateral Vestibular Hypofunction (BVH), Unilateral Vestibular Hypofunction (UVH), Vestibulo-Ocular Reflex (VOR), 2 Hz = 2 reps/second

1. Near point of convergence, jump convergence and fusional reserves. In: Eperjesi F, Bartlett H, Dunne M, eds. *Ophthalmic clinical procedures*. Elsevier Limited; 2007.
2. Scherer MR, Schubert MC. Traumatic brain injury and vestibular pathology as a comorbidity after blast exposure. *Phys Ther*. 2009;89(9):980-992. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20080353; 10.2522/ptj.20080353.
3. Wahlgren A, Palombaro K. Evidence-based physical therapy for BPPV using the international classification of functioning, disability and health model: A case report. *J Geriatr Phys Ther*. 2012;35(4):200-205. doi: 10.1519/JPT.0b013e318247a243.
4. Wrisley DM, Whitney SL. The effect of foot position on the modified clinical test of sensory interaction and balance. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2004;85(2):335-338.
5. Schubert M, O'Sullivan S, Schmitz T. Vestibular disorders. In: *Physical rehabilitation*. 5th ed. Philadelphia, PA: F.A. Davis Company; 2007:999-1025.
6. Ciuffreda KJ, Kapoor N, Rutner D, Suchoff IB, Han ME, Craig S. Occurrence of oculomotor dysfunctions in acquired brain injury: A retrospective analysis. *Optometry*. 2007;78(4):155-161. doi: 10.1016/j.optm.2006.11.011.
7. Hillier SL, Hollahan V. Vestibular rehabilitation for unilateral peripheral vestibular dysfunction. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2007;(4):CD005397. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD005397.pub2.
8. Schubert MC, Migliaccio AA, Clendaniel RA, Allak A, Carey JP. Mechanism of dynamic visual acuity recovery with vestibular rehabilitation. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2008;89(3):500-507. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2007.11.010; 10.1016/j.apmr.2007.11.010.
9. Akin FW, Davenport MJ. Validity and reliability of the motion sensitivity test. *J Rehabil Res Dev*. 2003;40(5):415-421.
10. Wilhelmsen K, Kvale A. Individuals with unilateral vestibular damage--examination and treatment with focus on the musculoskeletal system: A case series. *Phys Ther*. 2014. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20130070.